## **REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

Claims 1, 4-8 and 10-12 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Williams et al. in view of Yakshin et al. and further in view of Taniguchi et al. and further in view of the newly cited reference to Yamada et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5249250). Since the Examiner has withdrawn the indicated allowability of Claims 13-15 in the last Office Action, it is assumed that the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) also applies to Claims 16-19.

In the Amendment filed on March 7, 2008, all of the claims 1, 4-8, 10-12 and 16-19 were amended to include the recitations of the allowed Claims 13-15. It is again submitted, and the Examiner has recognized, that these claims are allowable over the teachings of Williams et al., Yakshin et al., and Tanaguchi et al. for the reasons set forth in the Amendment filed on September 13, 2007.

All of the claims in the present application have been amended herein to recite that the group I-VII semiconductor single crystal thin film is of high planarity and crystallinity, and has a roughness in the order of 2nm. This recitation is for the purpose of emphasizing the advantages of Applicant's group I-VII semiconductor single crystal thin film and process for producing same over the teachings of the newly cited reference to Yamada et al. In addition, Claim 1 and dependent Claims 4-7, and Claim 8 and dependent Claims 10-12 all recite that the acceleration voltage HV of the electron beam is  $0(kV) < HV \le 30$  (kV); Claims 16 and 18 recite that the filament current F1 of the electron beam is 0(A) < F1 < 5 (A); and Claims 17 and 19 recite that the irradiation current H1 of the electron beam is  $0(\mu A) < HI \le 150(\mu A)$ . It is submitted that Yamada et al. fails to supply the deficiencies of the other cited references with respect to these novel recitations in Claims 1, 4-8, 10-12 and 16-19.

The Examiner states that Yamada et al. teaches a process wherein the electron beam's acceleration voltage is 15 kV, well within the range of 0 to 30 kV, and that such a low amount of kV is used to prevent breakdown of the growing film which can potentially destroy the device. The Examiner further states that Yamada teaches how thermal degradation can occur when the irradiation current density is 1A/mm2 or higher. The Examiner further states that it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to understand that, if the irradiation current was 1mA as taught by Yamada et al., then the filament current must be no greater than 1mA.

It is noted, however, that Yamada et al. discloses the arrangement adopting a ferroelectric crystal, which is different from a group I-VII semiconductor single crystal thin film in the subject application. Also, increase in the nonlinearity (3rd order nonlinearity) of the group I-VII semiconductor single crystal thin film is different in the order of the nonlinearity from the nonlinearity (2nd-order nonlinearity) obtained by incising diffractive gating in the ferroelectric crystal of Yamada et al.

Further, Yamada et al. has the arrangement that (i) irradiates an electron beam on a crystal layer having been formed, and (ii) uses the electron beam at the acceleration voltage 15 kV so as not to cause the breakdown by the irradiation. On the other hand, the present invention has the arrangement in which the electron beam of acceleration voltage HV, for example, in a range of "0<HV≤30" in claim 1 is irradiated on the group I-VII semiconductor single crystal thin film while the group I-VII semiconductor single crystal thin film group is being formed. This attains an advantageous, unexpected effect such that the group I-VII semiconductor single crystal thin film, which (a) exhibits high planarity and crystallinity and (b) is absolutely free from the breakdown, can be obtained. Thus, a person skilled in the art could not have easily arrived at the

ITOH ET AL. Appl. No. 10/589,003

June 24, 2008

present invention in the amended claims based on the teachings of Williams et al., Yakshin et al.,

Taniguchi et al., and additionally Yamada et al. which fails to supply the deficiencies of the other

references with respect to the amended claims.

All of the claims are amended herein to include the recitation that "the film is of high

planarity and crystallinity, and has a roughness in the order of 2nm". Thus, these claims clearly

refer to the advantageous effect of the present invention, i.e., excellence in planarity and

crystallinity.

In view of the above amendments and remarks, it is submitted that Claims 1, 4-8, 10-12

and 16-19 clearly are allowable over the combined teachings of the cited references, and formal

allowance thereof is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

NIXON & VANDERHYE P.C.

By: Snowh P. Pin

Frank P. Presta Reg. No. 19,828

FPP:cgp

901 North Glebe Road, 11th Floor

Arlington, VA 22203-1808

Telephone: (703) 816-4000

Facsimile: (703) 816-4100

- 10 -

1352508